

The Role and Challenges of Teacher Educators in the Digital Era in India : A Comprehensive Analysis

Jaynath Choudhary

Research Scholar (Ph.D.)

Department of Education (CIE), University of Delhi

Email: jnch251290@gmail.com

ORCID: 0009-0004-8861-5794

Abstract

This comprehensive research paper looks into the different roles of teacher trainers and challenges faced by teacher trainers in the context of teaching in India's digital age. Teacher trainers work in a socio-technical ecosystem and need to shift from being 'traditional' trainers to becoming 'advanced' trainers, and working as designers of learning experiences, analysts of data, and facilitators of learning in digitally supported, learner-centered environments. Equally important will be the growth of Artificial Intelligence (AI), digital literacy, and critical ethical awareness surrounding technology-enhanced learning. The implementation of NEP 2020 along with the Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) such as DIKSHA and SWAYAM provides concrete opportunities to develop equitable access to digital learning, while also being hampered by systemic constraints. This research paper identifies some of the barriers that exist on a systemic level including structural inequities, especially the large subnational digital divide and the impact of this on rural populations and those from socio-economically marginalized backgrounds. Additionally, this paper looks critically at the psychological occupational hazards that come with digital transformation; such as increasing rates of techno-stress, techno-invasion, and professional burnout among school teachers. In addition to those three types of psychological occupational hazards, institutional and socio-administrative bottlenecks (e.g., inadequate CPD, misaligned policy implementation, and resistance to change) also make it harder for educational technology (EdTech) to be integrated into the classroom. The research paper makes use of two theoretical constructs; the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Model and the Synchronized Technology Adoption Framework to synthesize empirical evidence into useful recommendations for creating a sustainable process of digital transformation in Indian teacher education.

Keywords: *NEP 2020, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Teacher Education, Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK), Continuous Professional Development (CPD), Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS)*

1. Introduction: The Imperative of Digital Transformation in Teacher Education

The transition to digital media marks the most impactful change in pedagogical science since its inception by changing the way we create, distribute and consume knowledge globally (Hemajothi & Kumar Jain, 2022). In India — with its demographic dividend and one of the most extensive and complex educational systems in the world — this evolution offers the potential for unprecedented growth but also presents enormous challenges from an administrative perspective (Khan, 2025). For decades, India relied on the traditional teacher-centered “chalk-and-talk” model of instruction; as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, this system was forced to dramatically change its operations digitally (Mishra & Koehler, 2006; Selwyn, 2012). While these changes were initially viewed through a lens of crisis management, they have now transitioned into formalized digital education through new Federal legislation that has established clear criteria for integrating digital education into the current educational ecosystem; this legislation includes the NEP 2020 (Ministry of Education, 2020).

The National Education Policy (NEP 2020) seeks to prepare teachers with a comprehensive, future-oriented design in their training program and to fundamentally change the role of technology in education by moving from a peripheral “add-on” to an essential “core enabler” for educational innovation, pedagogical equity, and quality improvement (Khan, 2025). The policy describes a fundamental systemic change to the institutions that prepare teachers and, in effect, calls for a multidisciplinary approach that connects the arts and sciences with advanced technological teaching

approaches and thus prepare candidates to work in 21st-century classrooms. At the heart of this federally-led initiative will be the establishment of an independent, autonomous entity called the National Educational Technology Forum (NETF), whose purpose will be to facilitate the sharing of innovations in education technology (EdTech) across sectors, to contribute to quality academic research, and to share best practices regarding pedagogical practices facilitated by technology.

To implement this ambitious vision, the Indian government has increased the pace of implementation of its Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) to support the education sector in India. Multi-tiered platforms like DIKSHA (Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing), which supports access to high-quality educational resources through QR codes, provides an extensive array of digital content for both in-service and pre-service teachers, including lesson plans and video modules, in local languages (Kumar & Singh, 2022). At the same time, the SWAYAM portal has made it easier for people to get access to high-quality learning resources through MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses) to democratize students' access to the great ancient educational system. Further to creating digitally-enabled teaching workforce, the country has also had programs such as NISHTHA (National Initiative for School Heads' and Teachers' Holistic Advancement) for the holistic development of the teacher workforce through blended learning programs.

In spite of these major policy initiatives and structural components in place, the actual implementation of technologies in the classrooms has produced many serious systemic problems. The degree of success for the digital revolution across the country is dependent upon the teachers who deliver digital education. As educational institutions are entering into a period of growth due to AI, AR and/or VR technology (Hemajothi & Kumar Jain, 2022), the demands placed on teacher educators to fulfill their role as change agents are greatly increased. Teacher educators are required to take on many new roles; they are no longer only the source of content and expertise but are also being expected to be the engineers of digital learning experiences, advocates for the wellness of students in vulnerable digital environments, and lifelong learners with a continually changing technology landscape.

In India's digital era, teacher educators are experiencing rapid transformations in their roles and responsibilities as they engage with the intricacies of technology in education. By examining the obstacles of structure, teaching methods, psychology, and administration that hinder the successful use and integration of educational technologies, this research paper greatly expands on current empirical data, policy outcomes, and forward-thinking theoretical ideas. Sections to follow will explore how educators must change their notions about knowledge and learning and how those changes are impacted by the current educational infrastructures that exist in India; issues created as a result of the technology gap between low- and high-income populations; how teachers' feelings of stress related to technology use may impact their well-being; and ways to create teaching and learning environments that promote inclusion and cultural relevance through use of digital media.

2. Theoretical Frameworks Governing Digital Pedagogy and Adoption

Understanding the complex interplay between technology, pedagogy, and institutional dynamics requires robust theoretical scaffolding. The integration of digital technologies into Indian Teacher Education Institutions (TEIs) is best analyzed through multiple overlapping frameworks that address both individual pedagogical capacity and systemic institutional adoption.

2.1 The TPACK and SAMR Models

At the core of digital pedagogical theory is the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). TPACK posits that effective digital teaching cannot occur in a vacuum; it requires a nuanced, fluid intersection where a teacher combines their deep knowledge of the subject matter (Content Knowledge), an understanding of how students learn (Pedagogical Knowledge), and the specific affordances of digital tools (Technological Knowledge) (Sharma, 2025). In the Indian context, teacher educators frequently struggle to achieve this tri-fold intersection, often utilizing technology superficially rather than allowing it to transform the pedagogical approach itself.

Complementing TPACK is the SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) model, which categorizes the depth of technology integration. Empirical observations in Indian classrooms indicate that the majority of technology use remains stagnated at the "Substitution" or "Augmentation" levels—for instance, replacing physical textbooks with static PDFs or using interactive whiteboards merely as digital chalkboards. The ultimate goal of teacher education programs in the digital era is to propel educators toward "Modification" and "Redefinition," where technology enables the design of entirely new, previously inconceivable learning tasks that foster deep cognitive engagement and collaborative inquiry.

2.2 The Synchronized Adoption Framework

To overcome the multifaceted barriers to EdTech integration, recent educational research in the Indian context has proposed the “Synchronized Adoption Framework” (Sharma, 2025). This multidisciplinary model argues that EdTech integration consistently fails when viewed solely as an isolated IT procurement issue. Instead, successful integration requires a synchronized approach across four distinct perspectives.

Table 1: Dimensions of the Synchronized Adoption Framework

| Dimension of the Synchronized Adoption Framework | Key Focus Areas in Teacher Education Integration |
|---|--|
| Technology Perspective | Ensures basic infrastructure availability, equitable device access, software usability, system interoperability, and stringent data security protocols. |
| Pedagogical Perspective | Focuses on curriculum alignment, TPACK integration, active learning methodologies, continuous assessment tracking, and alignment with constructivist learning theories. |
| Motivational Perspective | Addresses stakeholder attitudes (teachers, parents, students), promotes digital literacy, and utilizes behavioral theories to overcome resistance to technological change. |
| Policy Perspective | Demands institutional leadership support, alignment with national mandates (NEP 2020), adequate financial provisioning, and the enforcement of digital equity mandates. |

(Adapted from Sharma, 2025).

By utilizing the Synchronized Adoption Framework, policymakers and institutional leaders can move beyond fragmented tech initiatives, ensuring that structural deficits, pedagogical practices, stakeholder motivation, and policy alignment are addressed concurrently (Sharma, 2025).

2.3 Institutional Frameworks: TOE and CRI

At the macro-institutional level, the Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) framework and the Consumer Resistance to Innovations (CRI) theory provide critical insights into why Indian TEIs resist digital transformation. The TOE framework suggests that adoption is influenced by technological readiness, organizational structure (leadership vision, financial resources), and environmental pressures (federal mandates, global technological trends). Conversely, CRI theory explains functional and psychological resistance among educators, demonstrating that resistance often stems from a perceived lack of Task-Technology Fit (TTF)—when mandated technologies are viewed as cumbersome or misaligned with the actual functional needs of the classroom.

3. The Paradigm Shift: Redefining the Role of Teacher Educators

In the contemporary knowledge society, where access to information is ubiquitous and practically limitless, the traditional role of the teacher as the sole arbiter and transmitter of knowledge has been rendered epistemologically obsolete. The digital era demands a radical shift in how teacher educators conceptualize their profession, requiring them to adopt multifaceted roles that prioritize facilitation, design, and emotional mentorship over mere content delivery.

3.1 From Knowledge Transmitters to Advanced Instructional Designers

Modern teacher educators are required to function as advanced instructional designers (Means et al., 2013). The rapid transition from physical classrooms to blended, hybrid, and fully virtual learning environments (VLEs) necessitates a fundamental change in instructional design and delivery (Akyol & Erdem, 2022; Hodges et al., 2020). Methodologies that are highly effective in traditional face-to-face settings do not seamlessly translate to digital platforms, compelling educators to develop deep digital literacy and pedagogical adaptability to avoid severe drops in student engagement (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

Consequently, teacher education degrees and continuous professional development pathways in India have shed their narrow career definitions. Educators are increasingly expected to master complex, formal instructional design models to craft engaging, asynchronous, and synchronous learning journeys. Prominent frameworks that have been integrated into progressive Indian teacher training programs include the ADDIE (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, Evaluation) model, Backward Design (Understanding by Design or UbD), the ARCS (Attention, Relevance, Confidence, Satisfaction) motivational design model, and Gagne's Nine Events of Instruction. By leveraging these structured frameworks, educators are actively shifting their focus toward competency-based education, iterative microlearning, and multimedia integration, moving decisively away from passive, text-heavy content delivery mechanisms.

3.2 Data-Informed Pedagogy and Learning Analytics

A new and essential aspect of the teacher educator's role is the effective use of educational data. In today's digitalized educational landscape, Digital platforms like Learning Management Systems (LMS), digital assessment tools, and digital classroom platforms produce large quantities of continuous data on student participation, gaps in cognition, attendance, and behavior. Educators now need to be knowledgeable about using data because they need to act as functional data analysts and able to analyze this telemetry to develop instructional strategies and focused interventions based on evidence.

To assist with the advancement of these skills, initiatives by the federal government are currently underway. One example of such an initiative is the SWAYAM course "Digital Pedagogy Meets Data Visualization Skills for Educators". This course has been developed specifically to give Indian educators the opportunity to develop their data literacy. These faculties can begin using tools such as Google Sheets, Canva for Education, and other advanced programs such as Datawrapper, Microsoft Power BI, and Tableau. These educators will be able to create heat maps, analytic dashboards, and infographics that will change the way they teach from an intuitive and reactive approach to a highly diagnostic and proactive approach that provides individualized feedback and allows for real-time adaptations to the curriculum.

3.3 Cultivating Socio-Emotional Resilience and Digital Citizenship

While the medium of instruction has become increasingly digitized and optimized, the core humanistic responsibilities of the educator have paradoxically grown in fundamental importance. The COVID-19 pandemic impelled Indian policy-makers to realize the importance of educational institutions in providing not only the formal curriculum but also the social cohesion and psychological support needed by students (UNESCO, 2018). Teacher educators now have the dual responsibility of balancing cognitive development with social and emotional development of students through complex technological environments.

Educators are critical, non-delegated responsibilities for guiding and managing their students' social and emotional behaviors online; they are mentors whose role it is to help protect students from the unique and increased stressors associated with the digital society. Stressors that challenge students include the "need to be perfect" created by omnipresent social media algorithms; screen fatigue; cyberbullying; and threats to cyber-safety. In addition to providing opportunities for students to develop 21st century skills, teachers must also ensure that technology does not detract from the empathetic and emotionally intelligent relationships that good teaching creates. As Akshay Saxena of Avanti Fellows indicates, children learn better when they feel safe and cared for; teachers should not become obsolete as educators, instead they should be freed up from technology so they can spend more time developing meaningful, human connections with their students. In the digital era, educators are the essential mediators between the digital world and the real world; educators humanize the digital interface and help students stay motivated, secure and resilient.

4. Artificial Intelligence in Teacher Education: Opportunities and Ethical Dilemmas

The rapid infiltration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the educational sector represents the bleeding-edge vanguard of the current digital transformation. In India, while the overall adoption rate of AI in education currently lags behind

mature sectors such as manufacturing, finance, and healthcare, its impending, large-scale integration into teacher education programs offers profound pedagogical opportunities alongside distinct ethical challenges (Shindina et al., 2024; Ghimire et al., 2024).

4.1 Augmentation, Automation, and Hyper-Personalized Learning

AI possesses the unprecedented capability to fundamentally optimize the role of the teacher educator through the automation of routine, time-consuming administrative tasks, standardized grading, and data entry. By alleviating these heavy administrative burdens, AI systems liberate educators to focus their finite cognitive and emotional resources on high-value human interactions: personalized instruction, socio-emotional mentoring, and complex problem-solving. Furthermore, AI-driven adaptive learning environments and Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) allow for the mass personalization of education at scale. These sophisticated systems can automatically assess oral presentations, map complex student-teacher interactions, identify precise conceptual knowledge gaps, and deliver customized, real-time constructive criticism tailored to individual student cognitive profiles. By continuously analyzing cognitive and behavioral patterns, AI enables a definitive shift from a rigid, “one-size-fits-all” curriculum to highly individualized, dynamic learning pathways that adjust to the learner's specific pace and capability.

4.2 The AI Literacy Deficit and the Imperative for Ethical Navigation

Despite these transformative affordances, the integration of AI is fraught with deep pedagogical and ethical complexities. Emerging qualitative research within Indian TEIs indicates a stark, persistent dichotomy in how educators perceive and interact with AI. While teachers readily embrace AI's potential to enhance administrative efficiency and streamline lesson planning, they express profound, valid concerns regarding the erosion of students' critical thinking skills, academic integrity, and the high risk of over-dependence on generative AI tools.

A significant, systemic challenge identified in recent literature is the “literacy imbalance.” While both students and teachers are rapidly acquiring basic, surface-level technical competencies to operate generative AI tools (such as prompt engineering), there remains a critical, dangerous void in their ability to ethically evaluate and safely apply these technologies (Shindina et al., 2024). Ethical issues, such as inherent algorithmic bias, complex intellectual property concerns, and the safeguarding of sensitive student data privacy against corporate extraction, are becoming increasingly prominent and difficult to navigate.

Consequently, teacher education paradigms must pivot radically from merely teaching with AI to comprehensively teaching about AI. Educators must undergo sustained psychological and professional transformations to develop a holistic “digital mindset” that encompasses technology knowledge, advanced pedagogical application, and profound social and ethical responsibility. Educational policymakers are strongly urged to abandon rigid, top-down mandates in favor of collaborative, grassroots frameworks that actively position teachers as essential partners in designing AI integration, rather than treating them as passive consumers of opaque algorithmic tools.

5. Structural and Infrastructural Imperatives: Navigating the Digital Divide

The most formidable, entrenched barrier to the realization of equitable digital teacher education in India is the persistent and multifaceted “digital divide.” While visionary federal policies frequently project a seamlessly interconnected, utopian educational future, the empirical reality on the ground is characterized by stark infrastructural deficiencies, uneven resource distribution, and deep socio-economic fault lines (UNESCO, 2025; Banerjee, 2023).

5.1 Macro-Level Infrastructure Gaps and Resource Disparities

The foundational bedrock of any successful EdTech implementation is robust, reliable digital infrastructure, which critically includes high-speed internet connectivity, uninterrupted electricity, and universal access to modern computing hardware. However, empirical data indicates a severe, ongoing shortfall in these baseline infrastructural requirements across the subcontinent. According to the Unified District Information System for Education Plus (UDISE+) 2021–22 data, only 26% of all schools in India possess functional desktop computing facilities, and a mere 34% have reliable access to the internet (PRS Legislative Research, 2024).

The disparities between institutional management types are equally glaring and problematic. Private, unaided educational institutions exhibit significantly higher coverage of digital infrastructure—including smart boards and high-speed broadband—compared to government-run public schools, perpetuating a systemic, intergenerational inequality between the socio-economic “haves” and “have-nots”. Furthermore, research highlights that while there has been an influx of functional smart classrooms in certain government sectors due to targeted funding, this hardware provision has not universally correlated with increased student enrollment or improved retention rates, heavily suggesting that mere hardware provisioning without pedagogical integration is insufficient to drive meaningful educational outcomes.

5.2 Subnational Disparities: A State-Wise Analysis

The digital transformation in India is not a monolithic event; it is occurring at wildly divergent speeds, resulting in profound subnational disparities that challenge federal standardization. The ICRIER-Prosus Centre for Internet and Digital Economy (IPCIDE) 2025 report, utilizing the comprehensive CHIPS (Connect-Harness-Innovate-Protect-Sustain) framework, powerfully highlights the immense variance in technology readiness across Indian states and union territories (ICRIER-Prosus, 2025).

Table 2: Subnational Disparities in Digital Readiness in India

| Performance Tier | Representative States | Digitalization Score (Out of 100) | Regional Characteristics and Infrastructural Reality |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Leading States | Delhi, Kerala, Karnataka, Maharashtra | 49.6 – 63.6 | Dominated by Southern and Western regions. Characterized by robust Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) adoption, higher smartphone penetration, and vastly superior rural broadband and 5G connectivity. |
| Lagging States | Jharkhand, various Eastern and North-Eastern States | 25.1 and below | Dominated by Eastern and North-Eastern regions. Suffer from single-digit infrastructure scores, lacking reliable electricity, fiber connectivity, and basic technology access. |

(Source: IPCIDE CHIPS Report, 2025).

Connectivity remains the absolute strongest predictor of overall digitalization and educational readiness. However, the IPCIDE report notes a highly concerning secondary trend: smartphone penetration is beginning to rapidly plateau in richer states, firmly indicating that bridging the final last-mile usage gap requires novel, complex interventions that go far beyond basic hardware distribution and subsidies (ICRIER-Prosus, 2025).

5.3 The Urban-Rural Conundrum and the NIPUN Bharat Mission

The stark contrast between urban and rural settings presents substantial barriers to equitable access to both digital professional development and student learning experiences. The outcome of empirical studies has shown that while urban teachers have an estimated 80 percent of teachers having good, uncoupled availability of access to a smart phone or laptop for continuous training, over 40 percent of rural educators have substantial, debilitating issues due to insufficient stable connectivity and/or inadequate use of devices (Khan, 2025). Within India, there are currently an estimated 1.1 million teacher shortage, with the massive majority of the structural deficit occurring in rural geographic regions; 89 percent of all of India's 1.2 million single teacher schools are in high risk rural demographics.

Teachers working in these remote, chronically under-served regions often have enormous difficulty accessing sophisticated digital professional development platforms, engaging in large bandwidth virtual training formats, and optimally utilizing data-intensive digital instructional tools. As a result, NEP 2020s vision for inclusion and equity is fundamentally jeopardized by these persistent infrastructural barriers.

While there are dire infrastructural constraints in many countries of the world, many targeted policy interventions appear to show promise for improving access to quality education, as evidenced by findings from the latest annual status of education report (ASER) released by Pratham (2024) that demonstrate marked recovery in foundational literacy and numeracy skills (FLN) following a devastating pandemic. For example, ASER 2024 data indicates that among class-three students attending government schools, reading ability has improved over the two-year period from 16.3 percent in 2022 to 23.4 percent in 2024 (Pratham, 2024; Tulsyan and Ranjan, 2025). Additionally, the authors

state that 89% of rural adolescents in grades 8 through 10 now have access to smartphones, and 57% of those who access phones use them for educational purposes. Hence, while school infrastructure remains poor, mobile-supported and community-driven digital learning is growing rapidly and inexpensively at the grass-roots level.

6. Challenges of Pedagogical and Professional Capacity Building

Once baseline digital infrastructure has been established, the second major roadblock to digital transformation is the pedagogical readiness, capacity, and willingness of teacher educators themselves. The abrupt and involuntary transition to digital education during the pandemic revealed the vast differences in digital proficiencies among the Indian teaching profession (Kenganal, 2025).

6.1 Superficial Integration and the Failure to Achieve TPACK

A prominent, recurring challenge is the widespread tendency toward highly superficial technology integration. Due to chronically inadequate pedagogical guidance and a lack of structured, long-term training, many educators remain stubbornly anchored to traditional, teacher-centered instructional approaches. They merely utilize sophisticated digital technology as an expensive, modern medium for traditional, one-way content delivery—failing entirely to leverage the interactive, constructivist affordances of the tools (Kenganal, 2025).

As previously discussed, achieving the intersection of the TPACK model—where technology, pedagogy, and content knowledge are seamlessly integrated—requires progressive, context-specific, and sustained professional mentoring (Sharma, 2025). This rigorous standard stands in stark contrast to the current, highly fragmented reality of teacher education in India, where technology is often taught as an isolated, standalone subject rather than an integrated pedagogical medium.

6.2 The Limitations of Current Continuous Professional Development (CPD)

According to the New Education Policy (NEP) 2020, teachers are required to complete a minimum of 50 hours of Continuous Professional Development (CPD) per year in order to remain certified. This is a solid theoretical framework for keeping teachers engaged in their profession; however, there are systemic barriers to the proper implementation of this policy within schools.

There are many educational practitioners that have been vocal about their criticisms of current CPD programming. They have indicated that CPD programming has frequently been one-time offerings that are theory based and lecture based, focusing primarily on mechanical aspects of operating the technology in a very basic manner rather than on practical evidence based pedagogical (teaching) practices that are applicable to their specific subject area (Kenganal, 2025). Educators have persistently reported that there exists a great disconnect between the sterile, technology-friendly environments of digital training modules and the chaotic, underfunded realities of Indian classrooms (Khan, 2025). A broad based survey conducted recently indicated that 37% of educators reported that they have difficulty applying digital training materials to their daily teaching practice, and 41% of educators indicated that there is no institutional support available post digital training (Khan, 2025). Therefore, the absence of on-going assistance from the institution has severely hampered the ability of the U.S. Department of Education to achieve effective and sustainable capacity building efforts through the CPD initiative.

7. Psychological and Occupational Hazards: Techno Stress, Surveillance, and Burnout

One of the many critical, although often overlooked factors in the digital transformation of education within Indian society, is that of the extreme, damaging psychological impact that this has on teacher educators, as is the case with Digital-Based Teacher Education (DBTE) and Digital-Based Teacher Training (DBTT). The enormous pressure placed on teacher educators to quickly learn how to use complicated digital technologies, combined with the absolute blurring of boundaries between work obligations and home responsibilities, has led to an epidemic of occupationally related psychological distress (called “techno stress”) among teacher educators in India (Varanasi et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2025).

7.1 The Multidimensional Nature of Technostress

When people cannot keep up with the rapid and constant pace of advances in technology, Techno Stress is manifested acutely in the form of how their working conditions have changed drastically by the implementation of technology in ways that negatively affect them to extreme degrees (Berger et al., 2016; Gupta, 2023). Recent empirical research on Techno Stress conducted specifically with Indian educators has identified numerous, distinct, yet highly interconnected domains (Haixu & binti Ismail, 2025; Cao et al., 2025) in which this widespread phenomenon occurs, including:

1. **Techno-Overload:** There is a new phenomenon affecting educators today called Techno-Overload. The transition to the digital age has created an enormous, incalculable increase in the amount of work required.

The amount of time and cognitive effort to create highly interactive digital resources; the amount of time and cognitive effort required to manage the security of online assessments across multiple platforms; the time required to supervise the asynchronous participation of students; and the provision of continuous, personalized feedback to students digitally, all require far more time and cognitive effort than in-person teaching (Sood et al., 2024). In addition, the enormous digital overload has been layered on top of the educator's previous workload, and academic and administrative responsibilities continue to exist at their previous level of demand, resulting in the current state of fatigue for the educator.

2. **Techno-Invasion:** A second type of phenomenon affecting educators today called Techno-Invasion. Techno-invasion refers to the emergence of smart devices and the Internet into our everyday lives. The rise of smart devices has made it easier for of use technology for routine tasks, and these tools have replaced many of the traditional boundaries of the workplace. Teachers consistently report that they feel “tethered to their jobs” and are constantly expected by management and parents to respond to student requests, administrative changes, and institutional communications 24 hours per day. This has led to a significant disruption of the teacher's work-life balance (Cao et al., 2025; Yelubay et al., 2022).
3. **Techno-Complexity and Techno-Uncertainty:** A third type of phenomenon affecting educators today is referred to as Techno-Complexity or Techno-Uncertainty. Because of the rapid, constant change in how software platforms, education technology tools, and artificial intelligence (AI) systems evolve, educators are experiencing a state of low-level psychological uncertainty regarding their job skills related to technology's influence in education. Additionally, many veteran educators are particularly concerned about how their job skills related to technology will be viewed as becoming irrelevant, or obsolete, as they attempt to keep pace with continuously changing software programs, new digital instructional strategies, and changing algorithms (Neagu & Vieriu, 2025).

7.2 Digital Surveillance and the Erosion of Professional Autonomy

A pioneering study of how teachers use smartphones in low-income, Indian settings (HCI4D) uncovers egregious, dark patterns of technical invasion and control that are highly disturbing (Varanasi et al., 2021). The study showed that although smartphones were originally supplied as a way to support teachers' work, they have come to serve primarily as immensely powerful, ubiquitous devices that provide high levels of administrative control over individuals working in the education sector.

Teachers' personal smartphones are being exploited by higher-level institutional management to monitor their daily attendance via platforms, such as WhatsApp, to know their real-time location and to ensure strict adherence to bureaucratic procedure (Varanasi et al., 2021). This kind of round-the-clock, panoptic surveillance has been shown to deprive professional educators of a significant amount of autonomy they have earned over the years, dramatically increase the amount of unpaid, unrecognized labor that teachers must perform outside of their official hours of work, and is statistically the strongest predictor of severe emotional burnout within the educational workforce.

The impact of ICT uses on teachers' thought processes as measured by research shows that many teacher educators are experiencing “severe mental stress” connected to their use of technology to do their job (Sultana & Nasrin, 2024). Research shows that 40% of educators felt that they experienced high levels of mental stress due to ICT usage in their teaching. In addition, there are significant differences between males and females, and differences between public sector and private sector or self-financed educators related to the level of techno stress that they experience; therefore, it can be assumed all of these factors are cumulative because of many social, economic, and organizational inequities that do exist (Sultana & Nasrin, 2024). In order to limit the severe psychological impacts of digital usage, there must be a dramatic change in institutional management that addresses how technology is integrated into an organization. In other words, institutions must create meaningful digital wellness policies and processes in order to limit the number of available channels used for digital communication through the establishment of appropriate policies and rules; create a structure for discouraging micro-management of employees by reducing the use of digital tools to manage employee performance; and ensure technology is used to truly support the employee as a “job resource” rather than create an infinite number of job demands on the employee (Bellini et al., 2025).

8. Socio-Administrative and Institutional Barriers to Implementation

The successful, sustainable deployment of EdTech in Teacher Education Institutions is not merely a technological challenge; it is heavily dependent on the surrounding socio-administrative ecosystem. Research into the specific

barriers of digital transformation in Indian Higher Educational Institutions (HEIs) reveals that entrenched administrative bottlenecks and institutional cultures frequently stifle even the most promising pedagogical innovations.

8.1 Leadership Vision and Organizational Resistance

A primary, recurring administrative hurdle is the acute lack of cohesive leadership and strategic vision regarding holistic digital transformation. Many institutional leaders conservatively view digital transformation merely as the basic digitization of existing analog processes (e.g., moving paper records to Excel), rather than a fundamental, necessary reimagining of the entire educational delivery model. When institutional leadership fails to articulate a clear, compelling digital vision, it results in highly fragmented, isolated, and underfunded EdTech initiatives that lack long-term sustainability and fail to achieve scale.

Furthermore, this lack of coherent vision breeds deep organizational resistance among the faculty. Grounded in theories such as Consumer Resistance to Innovations (CRI) and Task-Technology Fit (TTF), qualitative explorations of Indian TEIs demonstrate that resistance is rarely rooted in sheer technophobia; rather, it stems from a critical mismatch between the mandated technologies and the actual, functional needs of the educators (low TTF). Teachers logically resist adopting new, complex platforms when the technology is perceived as highly cumbersome, misaligned with the official syllabus, or when it requires them to completely break deeply entrenched, historically successful analog teaching practices without offering any clear, immediate pedagogical advantage (Sharma, 2025).

8.2 Financial Constraints and the Absence of Technical Support

The implementation, ongoing maintenance, and continuous upgrades of advanced digital infrastructure require a massive and continuous capital expenditure. Many TEIs particularly located outside of elite, well-funded urban centers are continually faced with significant crippling financial challenges which result in being unable to procure the hardware and or software licenses necessary for digital education. The Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) for robust digital education goes well beyond the initial one-time procurement of hardware; it also critically includes the recurring software licensing costs, the ongoing and robust cybersecurity infrastructure, the ongoing maintenance of the hardware, and ongoing comprehensive faculty training.

In addition, a systemic lack of dedicated IT support greatly exacerbates operational friction at TEIs as it has been documented in a recent report by NASSCOM indicating that nearly 60% of Indian educational institutions continue to endure significant operational challenges, as a result of not having sufficient, qualified staff, who can adequately support the implementation of new digital tools and technologies. The end result is that when a smart board breaks down, or a Learning Management System goes down during an assessment, educators have no option but to return to traditional methods, which interrupts the continuity of the new digital learning methods and frustrates students while strengthening faculty skepticism about the use of technology (Sharma, 2025).

9. Using Technology to Create Inclusive Classrooms for All Students, including Diverse Languages and Special Education

A key principle of NEP 2020 and global education agendas (such as Sustainable Development Goal 4) is that as we move toward digitally transformed, or “technologically-enhanced,” education, we must work hard to ensure that these digital transformations do not add to the inequalities that already exist in society, but rather serve as a vehicle for broad, open, and democratic access to resources. In a highly diverse country such as India, it is essential for teacher educators to understand how to use technology to enable all students, regardless of their background, to become learners “in an inclusive manner” (UNESCO, 2025).

9.1 Mother-Tongue-Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE)

Language continues to represent one of the most significant and difficult obstacles to cognitive development and educational equity within India today. According to the landmark UNESCO “State of the Education Report for India 2025: Mother Tongue and Multilingual Education,” Mother-Tongue Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE) lies at the absolute center of discussion around national education (UNESCO, 2025). The state-of-the-art report further elaborates that language is more than simply a neutral medium of instruction; rather it is inherent to the way we think as well as our cultural identity and equitable outcomes of learning.

The major challenge teacher educators manage is how to assist with complex digital content in the regional languages their mixed student populations use. Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) is quickly emerging to help correct this issue. State based technological innovations have applied artificial intelligence (AI) effectively to bridge extensive gaps between languages. For instance, in Telangana the development of state-of-the-art AI systems have successfully automated and accurately translated education-related and current event information into underused indigenous tribal

languages including Lambadi, Gondi, and Kolami. Additionally, the national DIKSHA (Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing) Platform has created content in the form of QR codes and localized reflective native language content. In addition, Multilingual digital dictionaries have been developed for many of the major languages spoken in India, including Telugu, Urdu, Marathi, and Bengali to assist students with the transition from home to formal schooling. It is critical for teacher educators to have the opportunity to hone their skills in order to create, modify, and utilize multilingual digital content resources as part of their daily pedagogical approach (UNESCO, 2025).

9.2 Assistive Technologies for Learners with Disabilities

True, meaningful digital inclusion also demands that educational technologies are inherently accessible to learners with functional diversity and special educational needs. However, empirical research reveals that the integration of assistive educational technologies for students with disabilities remains highly inconsistent, fragmented, and ad-hoc across Indian institutions, often limited to the isolated efforts of initiative-taking teachers rather than driven by systemic, enforceable policy execution (Cranmer, 2020; Sanchez Diaz et al., 2024).

There is an increasing body of research demonstrating that technologies such as high-quality screen readers; refreshable Braille displays; Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) systems; and immersive Augmented Reality (AR) have tremendous, documented value in fostering active engagement, cognitive engagement, and reducing dropout rates of marginalized groups of students (Fernandez-Cerero et al., 2024). The UNESCO 2025 Report has emphatically supported and encouraged the government to adopt Universal Design for Learning (UDL) frameworks uniformly throughout the country, which strategically employ Indian Sign Language (ISL) combined with simplified and multimodal texts, to ensure accessibility for all.

However, teacher educators continue to report numerous, ongoing obstacles in achieving this inclusion, including the overwhelming cost of specialized assistive hardware; inadequate enforcement of inclusive institutional policies; and woeful, critical shortages of specialized professional training that would enable individuals to use these very technical tools effectively. To overcome these very significant barriers requires rigorous systemic institutional accountability; targeted federal funding; and the embedded normalization of universal design concepts to all mandatory pre-service teacher preparation programs nationwide.

10. Integrating Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) in Digital Spaces

The recent NEP 2020 has emphasized the revitalization of Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS) within the framework of Teacher Education Programmes, and how this relates to the broader issue of Western Ideological Paradigms dominating Technology Integration into Education. IKS integration focus on rooting Indian citizens firmly in the rich cultural, philosophical and contextual realities of their own country but also provide a starting point in exposing potential Indian educators to global awareness as that fits with being locally grounded (Kumar, 2026).

There is a very positive attitude towards IKS integration among teacher educators and prospective teacher educators, based on research findings. However, the integration of ancient, nuanced epistemological frameworks into modern-day digital education presents immense challenges for teacher educators in India. Teacher educators cite significant epistemic challenges; a total lack of digitized, peer-reviewed research literature on IKS; and a total absence of structured institutional support systems to assist them in integrating IKS into their educational practices (Kumar, 2026).

The digital era, however, provides an unprecedented, highly scalable mechanism to overcome these barriers. By utilizing vast digital repositories, immersive AR/VR cultural and historical simulations, and robust online collaborative platforms, educators can meticulously document, digitize, and widely disseminate indigenous knowledge. This approach successfully synthesizes modern digital affordances with traditional Indian ethos, ensuring that IKS is not relegated to the past, but becomes a living, accessible component of the modern digital curriculum (Kumar, 2026).

11. Conclusion and Strategic Recommendations

Teacher trainer has changed profoundly throughout the digital age; The teacher educator's role in India now demands a highly complex and evolving intersection of highly complex interaction amongst four facets of teacher education: sophisticated instructional design; rigorous data analysis; socio-emotional mentorship; and proactive digital citizenship (Khan, 2025; Sharma, 2025). The New Education Policy 2020 (NEP 2020) has made education in India a highly visionary, transformative imperative, while at the same time, the global shift toward technology-induced learning is propelling Indian educational opportunities toward unprecedented levels of scale, mass domestic individualization and real socio-economic inclusivity.

The extensive research and analysis conducted indicates that the significant obstacles to educational outcomes in India – which are fundamentally entrenched and highly systemic – are much larger than one might expect. An example can be seen through the sub-national digital divide in India, which demonstrates highlighted dramatic and disturbing disparities with regards to the supply of basic education infrastructure; internet connectivity; and access to technology between elite and highly vulnerable populations in both ruled, and rural communities (ICRIER - Prosus, 2025; Tulsyan & Ranjan, 2025). Furthermore, the pedagogical ecosystem is currently in a paradigm where it is up against tremendous resistance in moving towards highly superficial and performative technology integration and use of highly transformative learning frameworks such as the Technological Theory of Knowledge (TPACK) and the Synchronized Learning Model (Sharma, 2025).

Another issue requiring urgent higher education institutional intervention is the hidden psychological implications of the relentless transition to digital and the rapid increase in techno-stress that has occurred largely due to overuse of technology, the effect of pervasive digital monitoring on human behavior, and insufficient administrative or technical support (Varanasi et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2025). The current state of techno-stress represents a serious and existential threat to the mental health and sustainment of a quality workforce in education (Varanasi et al., 2021; Cao et al., 2025). In order to achieve equitable inclusion, there must be a commitment, both monetary and intentional, to implement Mother Tongue Based Multilingual Education (MTB-MLE) and access to assistive technology, which shift from verbal commitment to tangible and enforceable policy development (UNESCO, 2025).

To achieve an authentic, sustainable, and equitable digital transformation of education in India, it is essential that policymakers and institutional leaders adopt a holistic, human-centered approach as soon as possible. The capital required to fund the construction of digital public infrastructure must be matched by an equal amount of continued, contextually relevant, and pedagogically-based professional development for teachers (Kenganal, 2025). For example, as for how to integrate disruptive technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), professionals must create an ethical framework that not only empowers, but also augment professionals' capabilities, and preserves their independent and professional autonomy, rather than treating them as outdated or unnecessary (Shindina et al., 2024).

Ultimately, in an era increasingly defined by ubiquitous, opaque algorithms, generative AI, and sleek digital interfaces, the fundamental, enduring success of the Indian educational system will rely not on the raw sophistication or speed of the technology deployed. Rather, it will depend entirely on the capacity, well-being, and pedagogical mastery of the human teacher educator to deploy that technology with profound compassion, critical ethical discernment, and an unwavering, unyielding commitment to equitable human development.

References

1. Afnan. (2023, December 8). *Digital Education in India- Avenues and Challenges - AIF*. AIF. <https://aif.org/digital-education-in-india-avenues-and-challenges/>
2. Alam, M. A. (2024). Transforming Teacher Education: The Impact of NEP 2020 on ITEP Implementation. *ResearchGate*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30515.85289>
3. Amin, J. N. (2016). Redefining the role of teachers in the digital era. *International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.25215/0303.101>
4. Besan, S., & Rajhans, N. (2025). “Enhancing Teacher Readiness: Professional Development and Digital Integration Under NEP 2020.” © 2025 *IJRTI* |, 10(3), 137-150. <https://www.ijrti.org/papers/IJRTI2503225.pdf>
5. Bharat, E. (2025, December 11). *Southern States Lead India’s Digital Race: New Report Reveals Big Tech-Readiness Divide*. ETV Bharat News; ETV Bharat. <https://www.etvbharat.com/en/technology/southern-states-lead-indias-digital-race-new-report-reveals-big-tech-readiness-divide-enn25121106102> (Gupta, S. 2025)
6. Bhaumik, S. (2025). Techno-Stress among Primary School Teachers in Nowboicha Block of Lakhimpur District of Assam. *International Journal of Humanities Social Science and Management (IJHSSM)*, 5(2), 3048–6874. https://ijhssm.org/issue_dcp/Techno%20Stress%20among%20Primary%20School%20Teachers%20in%20Nowboicha%20Block%20of%20Lakhimpur%20District%20of%20Assam.pdf
7. Borgohain, R., & Hoque, D. (2025). Digital education in Schools of India: a step towards demographic dividend. In *Advances in intelligent systems research/Advances in Intelligent Systems Research* (pp. 5–19). https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-950-6_2
8. Burns, T. and F. Gottschalk (eds.) (2020), *Education in the Digital Age: Healthy and Happy Children*, Educational Research and Innovation, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/1209166a-en>.
9. DABBEERU, R. S., & Gannavaram, D. K. (2024). CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING DIGITAL STRATEGIES IN EDUCATION. *Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, 15(1). 3359-3365.
10. *Demand for Grants 2024-25 Analysis: Education*. (2026, March 2). PRS Legislative Research. <https://prsindia.org/budgets/parliament/demand-for-grants-2024-25-analysis-education>
11. Deping, Z., & Xiukui, S. (2025). From challenges to chances in the digital age: psychological transformation in EFL teachers in Chinese teacher education institutions. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1606932>
12. Dr. George Varghese, Dr. Rajesh Balarama, (2025) Pandemic Pedagogy: The Digital Divide and Its Impact on Indian High School Students. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (3), 605-619.
13. Dr. Vijayalaxmi Kenganal. (2025). PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHERS IN THE DIGITAL ERA: CHALLENGES, PRACTICES, AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS. *International Education and Research Journal (IERJ)*, 11(12). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18105372>
14. Feng, J., Yu, B., Tan, W. H., Dai, Z., & Li, Z. (2025). Key factors influencing educational technology adoption in higher education: A systematic review. *PLOS Digital Health*, 4(4), e0000764. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000764>
15. Goarty, R., & Gupta, K. P. (2025). Barriers to digital transformation in Indian higher educational institutions – a qualitative exploration of administrators’ perspectives. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(16), 23665–23692. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-025-13690-7>
16. *ICRIER–Prosus Centre Releases Landmark Report on Digitalisation Across Indian States*. (2025). Prosus.com. <https://www.prosus.com/news-insights/2025/icrier-prosus-centre-releases-landmark-report-on-digitalisation-across-indian-states>
17. iDream Education. (2025, July 14). *Achieve NEP 2024 Goals with Digital Boards for Schools*. IDream Education. <https://www.idreameducation.org/blog/digital-boards-for-school/>
18. Insights Editor. (2025, January 29). *ASER Report 2024 | Annual Status of Education Report 2024*. INSIGHTS IAS - Simplifying UPSC IAS Exam Preparation. <https://www.insightsonindia.com/2025/01/29/aser-report-2024/>
19. Jurić, J., Podrug Krstulović, L., & Mišurac, I. (2026). Professional Well-Being of Teachers in the Digital Age: The Role of Digital Competences and Technostress. *Education Sciences*, 16(1), 130. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci16010130>
20. Khan, S. (2025). *Transforming Teacher Education through Technology: An Analysis in the Context of NEP 2020*. <https://academicjournal.ijraw.com/media/post/IJRAW-4-6-13.1.pdf>

21. Khan, S., & Gupta, P. (2025). *The Evolving Dynamics of Student-Teacher Relationships in the Modern Age: Impact on Academic Performance, Emotional Well-being, and Classroom Engagement*. <https://academicjournal.ijraw.com/media/post/IJRAW-4-10-31.1.pdf>
22. Kulkarni, G., Pareek, N., Henry, D., Ahuja, K. & Bane, S. (2025). Enhancing Student Engagement through Digital Transformation in EdTech: The Moderating Role of Teacher Support. *Journal of Marketing & Social Research*, 2(2), 472-486.
23. Kumar, M. (2025). ROLE AND IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN TEACHER EDUCATION. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(3). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i03.46908>
24. Mitchell, D. (2025, January 13). *2025 E-Learning Trends: What's In and What's Out | Articulate*. Articulate. <https://www.articulate.com/blog/2025-e-learning-trends-whats-in-and-whats-out/>
25. Murillo-Jiménez, H., Centeno-Alarcón, M., Buele, J., & Yumbra, F. (2025). Analyzing barriers to the effective implementation of technological tools in inclusive education: a scoping review. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1687664>
26. Osorio Vanegas, H. D., Segovia Cifuentes, Y. d. M., & Sobrino Morrás, A. (2025). Educational Technology in Teacher Training: A Systematic Review of Competencies, Skills, Models, and Methods. *Education Sciences*, 15(8), 1036. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15081036>
27. Ramavath, N. (2021). Challenges and opportunities of digital learning in India. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352559547_Challenges_and_Opportunities_of_Digital_Learning_in_India
28. Samaniego López, M. V., Orrego Riofrío, M. C., Barriga-Fray, S. F., & Paz Viteri, B. S. (2025). Technologies in Inclusive Education: Solution or Challenge? A Systematic Review. *Education Sciences*, 15(6), 715. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15060715>
29. Sharma, R. (2025). Synchronized Adoption Framework to Overcome Barriers in EdTech Implementation. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(5). <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i05.57807>
30. Singh, S., Ananta Ana Tigga, & Kumar, R. (2025). Indian Knowledge Systems in Teacher Education Program: Perception of Prospective Teachers and Teacher... *ResearchGate*, 02(01), 88–104. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/399866776_Indian_Knowledge_Systems_in_Teacher_Education_Program_Perception_of_Prospective_Teachers_and_Teacher_Educators
31. Singun, A. (2025). Unveiling the barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: a systematic literature review. *Discover Education*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00430-9>
31. Surbhi, & Sharma, A. (2026). THE IMPACT OF AI ON TEACHER EDUCATION: EMERGING TRENDS AND ISSUES. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400950196_THE_IMPACT_OF_AI_ON_TEACHER_EDUCATION_EMERGING_TRENDS_AND_ISSUES
32. Tiwari, M. (2023, August 25). *Digital Transformation in Education: NEP 2020's Vision for EdTech | LearnQoch*. LearnQoch. <https://learnqoch.com/digital-transformation-in-education-nep-2020s-vision-for-edtech/>
33. Tripathi, T., Sharma, S. R., Singh, V., Bhargava, P., & Raj, C. (2025). Teaching and learning with AI: a qualitative study on K-12 teachers' use and engagement with artificial intelligence. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2025.1651217>
34. Tulsyan, A., & Ranjan, I. (2025, December 8). *Tracking Regional Disparities in Learning Outcomes*. Orfonline.org; OBSERVER RESEARCH FOUNDATION (ORF). <https://www.orfonline.org/research/tracking-regional-disparities-in-learning-outcomes>
35. UNESCO. (2025). *State of the Education Report for India 2025*.
36. UNESCO. (2025). *Teaching and Learning in Every Tongue | Bhasha Matters | SOER 2025*. Unesco.org. <https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/unesco-launch-bhasha-matters-state-education-report-india-2025-mother-tongue-and-multilingual>
37. Varanasi, R. A., Vashistha, A., Kizilcec, R. F., & Dell, N. (2021). Investigating Technostress Among Teachers in Low-Income Indian Schools. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5(CSCW2), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3476081>

38. Willige, A. (2025, December 5). *AI and education: why human connection and care are key*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2025/12/ai-is-transforming-education-by-allowing-us-to-be-more-human/>
39. Woldemariam, M. T., Ergado, A. A., & Jimma, W. (2025). Factors influencing effective integration of educational technology in the colleges of teacher education in Ethiopia: A constructivist grounded theory. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 41(2), 50–70. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.9993>
40. Zhang, H., & Cao, J. (2025). From digital disruption to mental health: the impact of AI-induced educational anxiety on teacher well-being in the era of smart education. *BMC Public Health*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-025-25372-7>
41. Zou, Y., Kuek, F., Feng, W., & Cheng, X. (2025). Digital learning in the 21st century: trends, challenges, and innovations in technology integration. *Frontiers in Education*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1562391>