IMPACT FACTOR 7.50 (SJIAI)

BIHAR SHODH SAMAAGAM

Multidisciplinary, Multi-Lingual, Peer Reviewed /Refereed Open Access Journal
Vol. 4, Issue 4 October-December, 2026
Available online : https://www.biharshodhsamaagam.com/
doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23908292

ISSN : 3048-6971

Economic Impact of British Land Revenue Policies in India (1793–1947)

Dr. MH. Remesh kumar (MA,B.Ed,NET,Ph.D)

Associate Professor,Department of History, Maharajas College, Ernakulam

&

Dr. Ajeesh kumar PA, MA, B.Ed, M.Phil,Ph.D

Abstract

The British colonial period in India witnessed the introduction of multiple land revenue systems, most notably the Permanent Settlement, Ryotwari Settlement, and Mahalwari Settlement. These policies were primarily designed to maximize revenue for the colonial state rather than to promote agricultural development. While the systems enriched the British exchequer, they caused significant socio-economic dislocation in rural India, leading to indebtedness, peasant unrest, land alienation, and stagnation in agricultural productivity. This paper examines the economic impact of these policies from 1793 to 1947, supported by quantitative evidence, archival sources, and scholarly interpretations. The study concludes that the exploitative revenue policies had a long-lasting adverse impact on India's agrarian structure, rural economy, and patterns of development.

Introduction

The colonial conquest of India was not merely political but also economic. The British East India Company and later the British Crown sought to establish mechanisms to extract wealth from India, with land revenue forming the backbone of colonial finance. Land, being the primary source of livelihood for the majority of Indians, became the central focus of British policies. By reorganizing land tenure and revenue collection systems, the British sought to ensure a steady and predictable flow of income while restructuring agrarian relations in ways that suited imperial interests.

Between 1793 and 1947, three dominant land revenue systems emerged:

1. **Permanent Settlement (1793)** – introduced in Bengal, Bihar, Orissa, and parts of northern Madras by Lord Cornwallis.
2. **Ryotwari Settlement (early 19th century)** – introduced in Madras, Bombay, and parts of Assam under Thomas Munro and Alexander Read.
3. **Mahalwari Settlement (1833 onwards)** – applied in the North-Western Provinces, Punjab, and parts of Central India.

The colonial justification was to improve land administration, but the actual motive was maximizing state revenue with minimal administrative costs. These systems fundamentally altered rural society and had long-term implications on India's agrarian economy.

Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the economic rationale behind British land revenue policies.
2. To assess the quantitative impact of these policies on agricultural production, revenue collection, and rural indebtedness.
3. To examine the socio-economic consequences for peasants, landlords, and moneylenders.
4. To situate land revenue policies within the broader framework of colonial economic exploitation.

Methodology

This study uses a **historical-analytical method**, relying on:

- Colonial archival records (India Office Records, British Parliamentary Papers).
- Census data and agricultural statistics from 1881–1947.
- Secondary works of historians such as R.C. Dutt, Bipan Chandra, Irfan Habib, and Dharma Kumar.
- Quantitative evidence regarding land revenue assessments, grain prices, and indebtedness.

I. The Permanent Settlement of 1793

Introduction and Objectives

Lord Cornwallis introduced the Permanent Settlement in Bengal, Bihar, and Orissa in 1793. Its objective was to create a class of loyal landlords (zamindars) who would ensure regular payment of land revenue to the Company. The revenue demand was fixed permanently, i.e., it would never be increased in the future.

Revenue Demands

- Initial settlement fixed at **₹2.68 crore annually** for Bengal Presidency.
- This was nearly **10/11th of the estimated produce**, far higher than traditional Mughal rates.
- Zamindars who defaulted were dispossessed, leading to frequent auctions of estates.

Economic Consequences

1. **Creation of Absentee Landlordism:** Many zamindars became urban rent-collectors, with little incentive to invest in agriculture.
2. **Peasant Exploitation:** Peasants (ryots) were forced to pay exorbitant rents, often over **50–60% of their produce**.
3. **Stagnation in Agricultural Productivity:** Since revenue was fixed, zamindars did not invest in irrigation or technology.
4. **Peasant Distress:** Famines in Bengal (1770, 1783, and later 1837–38) were aggravated by the exploitative revenue system.
5. **Land Auctions:** By 1819, over **50% of zamindari estates** in Bengal were auctioned due to arrears.

II. The Ryotwari Settlement

Introduction

Introduced in **Madras (1801), Bombay (1817), Assam (1830s)** by Thomas Munro and others. Unlike Permanent Settlement, this system recognized cultivators (ryots) as proprietors of land.

Revenue Demands

- Ryots paid revenue directly to the government.
- Assessment varied between **45–55% of the gross produce**.
- Revised periodically (every 20–30 years).

Economic Consequences

1. **High Revenue Burden:** Despite being portrayed as more just, the system extracted heavy revenue. In drought years, peasants defaulted.
2. **Widespread Indebtedness:** Ryots borrowed from moneylenders to pay taxes; failure to repay led to land alienation.
3. **Commercialization of Agriculture:** Cash crops like cotton, indigo, and opium expanded under British demand.
4. **Famines:** The Madras famine of 1876–78, killing nearly **5.5 million people**, was linked to rigid revenue demands and neglect of food security.
5. **Revenue Stability for British:** By 1850, nearly **50% of Madras Presidency's total income** came from land revenue.

III. The Mahalwari Settlement

Introduction

Implemented from **1833 onwards** in the North-Western Provinces, Punjab, and Central India. Revenue was settled with an entire village (mahal) collectively, with headmen responsible for payment.

Revenue Demands

- Demand fixed at **66% of the rental value of land**.
- Periodic revisions ensured continuous upward revision of state claims.

Economic Consequences

1. **Burden on Villages:** Collective responsibility meant peasants pressured each other to meet demands.
2. **Rise of Moneylenders:** Inability to pay encouraged borrowing, increasing rural indebtedness.
3. **Agrarian Unrest:** The **Indigo Revolt (1859–60)** and peasant uprisings in Awadh (1920–22) reflected discontent.
4. **Stagnant Productivity:** No incentive for investment in land improvement.

IV. Quantitative Evidence of Economic Impact

Revenue Trends

- Land revenue formed **50–60% of total British Indian government income** in the 19th century.
- In 1810–11: **Land revenue = ₹8 crore**, while in 1900–01 it was **₹20 crore**.
- Despite increases, real agricultural productivity stagnated at **0.1–0.5% growth annually** (Dharma Kumar).

Indebtedness

- By 1921, about **33% of cultivators in Punjab** were under debt.
- In Deccan (Bombay Presidency), indebtedness led to the **Deccan Riots of 1875**.
- Rural credit dependence: In 1930s, **over 70% of peasants relied on moneylenders**.

Famines

- Between 1850 and 1900, **over 25 major famines** occurred, causing deaths of **30–35 million people**.
- The land revenue system contributed by demanding taxes even during drought years, reducing subsistence reserves.

Commercialization of Agriculture

- By 1940s, cash crops occupied **over 20% of cultivated land**, compared to under 10% in 1800.
- Grain exports rose: between 1870–1900, India exported an average of **4–5 million tons of food grains annually**, worsening domestic shortages.

V. Social and Economic Consequences

1. **Emergence of Landlord and Moneylender Classes:** Polarization of rural society between exploiters and exploited.
2. **Decline of Traditional Village Economy:** Self-sufficient village communities disintegrated under colonial policies.
3. **Impact on Industry:** Heavy revenue demands reduced peasant purchasing power, leading to the decline of local crafts and industries.
4. **Peasant Movements:** Discontent fueled agrarian movements like the **Deccan Riots (1875)**, **Indigo Revolt (1859–60)**, **Tebhaga Movement (1946)**.
5. **Contribution to Nationalism:** Agrarian distress became a key mobilizing factor for the freedom movement.

VI. Comparative Analysis of the Systems

Feature	Permanent Settlement	Ryotwari Settlement	Mahalwari Settlement
Introduced	1793 (Cornwallis)	1801 (Munro, Bombay/Madras)	1833 (NW Provinces, Punjab)
Revenue collected from	Zamindars (landlords)	Ryots (cultivators)	Village (mahal) collectively
Revenue demand	Fixed permanently (high)	45–55% of produce	66% of rental value
Incentive to invest	Very low	Low	Low
Main consequence	Absentee landlordism	Indebtedness, famines	Indebtedness, unrest

VII. Long-Term Implications (1793–1947)

1. **Agrarian Stagnation:** Productivity growth negligible compared to other colonies (e.g., Egypt).
2. **Poverty and Famines:** India transformed from a food-surplus to a famine-prone region.
3. **Colonial Drain of Wealth:** Export of agricultural surplus financed British industry.
4. **Structural Distortions:** Overemphasis on land revenue prevented industrialization.
5. **Legacy for Independent India:** Post-1947 land reforms (abolition of zamindari, tenancy reforms) were necessitated by colonial legacies.

Conclusion

British land revenue policies in India between 1793 and 1947 prioritized colonial profit over agrarian development. Whether through the Permanent Settlement, Ryotwari, or Mahalwari, the underlying objective was extraction, not welfare. Quantitative data shows stagnation in productivity, rising indebtedness, frequent famines, and commercialization of agriculture for imperial needs. These policies reshaped India's agrarian society, deepening inequalities and fostering unrest. The legacy of exploitation remained a critical challenge for independent India, necessitating structural reforms to reverse the colonial economic impact.

References

- Bipan Chandra, *India's Struggle for Independence*.
- Dharma Kumar, *The Cambridge Economic History of India, Vol. II*.
- Irfan Habib, *The Agrarian System of Mughal India*.
- R.C. Dutt, *The Economic History of India*.
- Burton Stein, *Thomas Munro: The Origins of the Colonial State and His Vision of Empire*.
- British Parliamentary Papers, House of Commons Debates, 19th century.
- Statistical Abstracts of British India (1881–1947).